

Geverament of Kerala

ease monaseos

2007



Kcg. No. mell. mells KL/TV/(N)/12/2006-2008

# KERALA GAZETTE

COUNCO MOO

## PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

among terming mediatums,

Vol. LII

oues 52

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY

തിരുവനതപുരം, ചെയ്യ

11th September 2007 2007 amai anama 11

20th Bhadra 1929 1929 sols 20

## PARTI

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

## Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

113

G.O.(Rt) No. 1997/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Gundumalai Tea Estate, Mannar P. O., Idukki

District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The President, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Mannar P. O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Sri Durairaj, P. F. No. 2447 by the Management of Gundumalai Tea Estate, Munnar is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1998/2007/LBR.

Thirumanathaparam, 23rd June 2007

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Accelerated Freeze Drying Company (Ltd.), Ezhupunna P. O., Cherthala and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The General Secretary, A. F. D. C. Employees Union, Ezhupunna P.O., Cherthala-688 548 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conterred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the Demands of the A. F. D. C. Employees Union, Exhupunna P. O., Cherthala are justifiable? If so, what reliefs they are entitled to?"

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1999/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthahuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Nagarjuna Herbal Concentrates Ltd., Alakode, Kalayanthani P. O., Thodupuzha, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri K. Radhakrishnan, Alappurath Kizhekka Puthenveedu, Cherupoika P.O., Puthoor, Kottarakkara, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said | industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

### ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Radhakrishnan, Branch-in-charge, Kottarakkara of M/s Nagarjuna Herbal Concentrates Ltd., Alakode, Kalayanthani P.O., Thodupuzha, Idukki with effect from 22-4-2004 is justifiable? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?"

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2000/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Lockheart Estate, Devikulam P.O., Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUG), Munnar P.O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### AMMERURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Smt. Velankanni, P. F. No. 2480 and Sri Ramar, P. F. No. 2646 workers of Lockheart Estate, Devikulam is justifiable? If not, what are the relief entitled to them?"

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2001/2007/LBR.

Thirunananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Rajeswari Rajendran, 'Bhakthapriya', House No. 7, Sterling Gardens, Near Kathrukadavu, Overbridge, Kaloor, Kochi-17 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Sajan, P. G., Kattuparambil House, Kuzhimattom P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months,

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. G. Sajan, Office Assistant, in Raju Dairy by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2002/2007/LBR.

Thirmananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K. T. Mathew, Kalpana House, Palampra P. O., Kanjirappally and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Rajan Antony, s/o K. K. Antony, Puthenpurackal, Palampra P. O., Kanjirappally in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of Rubber Tapping to Sri Rajan Antony, Rubber Tapper by the employer Sri K. T. Mathew is justifiable? If not, what relief workmen is entitled to?"

(7

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2003/2007/LBR.

Thirupananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jose, Emprayil, Mukkali, Palampram P. O., Kottayam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, All Kerala Rubber Tappers Association (AKRTA), Mukkali Unit, Palampra P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of work to Sri P.P. Raju, Rubber Tapper by Sri Jose, Emprayil employer, is justifiable? If not, what relief the workmen is entitled to?"

(8)

G. O (Rt.) No. 2004/2007/LBR.

Thirunamanthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Jose Sunil (Regd. Owner), KL2-R-5155, J. R. Travels, Vayalil Veedu, Vadakkumbhagamehery, Eravipuram P.O., Kollam, (2) Sri George Joseph (Operating Owner), KL2-R-5155, J.R. Travels, (Mukthyarkaran of Jose Sunil) Vayalil Veedu, Vadakkumbhagamehery, Eravipuram P.O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Reghunathan, Bunglow Veedu, Eravipuram P.O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (I) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### AMMEZURE

"Whether the termination of employment of Sri P. Reghunathan, Driver, KL-2-R-5155 J. R. Travels is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2005/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthahuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Thazhava Central Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham (Ltd.) Q-134 (D) (APCOS), Manappally North P.O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Sureskumar, Kollayil House, North East Thazhava, Manappally P.O., Kollam District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNIENTRE

"Whether the termination of Sri N. Suresh-Kumar, Milk Tester/Office Assistant by the management of M/s. Thazhava Central Ksheerolpadaka Co-operative Society Ltd. No. 134 (D) (APGOS) is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

7103

G.O. (Rt.) No. 2006/2007/LBR.

Thurumanthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Boyce Rubber Estate Private Ltd., Mundakkayam P. O. and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Highrange Estate Thozhilali Union, Reg. No. 170/70, Peermade P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order.

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Kunjumole, Remani, Surendran and Ponnamma Bai by the management of M/s. Boyce Rubber Estate Pvt. Ltd. Mundakkayam is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?"

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2007/2007/LBR.

Thirwananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, The Alappey Company Ltd., P.B. No 2602, Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri R. Murali, Mundukattil Veedu, Punnapra P. O., Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Sri R. Murali, Production Assistant, Alleppey Company Ltd. (Mundukattil Veedu, Punnapara P. O., Alappuzha) by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

G.O. (Rt.) No. 2016/2007/LBR.

Thirmoananthaburam, 26th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Hotel Pankaj, Statue, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Thiruvananthapuram Laxury Hotels Employees Union, (CITU), Reg. No. 50/82, Motor Bhavan, T. C. 28/2763, Kuthiravattom Road, Kummumpuram, G. P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial

dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of service of Smt. P. Chandrika, House Keeping Supervisor and Sri Somasekharan, T. Bellman by the Management of Hotel Pankaj, Statue, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?"

By order of the Governor,
Susy Earen,
Under Secretary to Government.